IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENT TRANSMITTAL FORM

(fw/B

Applicant PE C. Serial No.: Jul. 1 2 2004

Cierullies

10/059,703

Filed:

January 29, 2002

For:

DETERMINATION OF PROPERTIES OF AN OPTICAL DEVICE

Art Unit:

2877

Examiner:

Lyons, Michael A.

Confirmation No.:

5952

Customer No.:

27,623

Attorney Docket No.: US 20 01 0487

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

We are enclosing:

- 1. Applicant's Response to Office's Reasons for Allowance dated May 6, 2004;
- 2. Transmittal letter in duplicate; and
- 3. Postcard.

Please charge any additional fees or credit any such fees, if necessary to Deposit Account No. 01-0467 in the name of Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 9, 2004

Paul D. Greeley Reg. No. 31,019

Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.

One Landmark Square, 10th Floor Stamford, Connecticut 06901-2682

(203) 327-4500

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, ON JULY 9, 2004.

Allison Berkman

NAME

SIGNATURE

7/9/04

DATE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Cierullies, Jens

Serial No.:

10/059,703

For:

DETERMINATION OF PROPERTIES OF AN OPTICAL

DEVICE

Filed:

January 28, 2002

Examiner:

Michael A. Lyons

Art Unit:

2877

Confirmation No.:

5513

Customer No.:

27,623

Docket No.: US 20 01 0487

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO OFFICE'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE DATED MAY 6, 2004

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated May 6, 2004, please enter the following response in the file history of the above-noted application as follows:

Applicant notes receipt of the Reasons for Allowance in the pending Action. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims of the instant application are allowable for the reasons set forth in the specification, as well as the arguments presented in applicant's response(s), if any. Any statements set forth

by the Examiner in the Reasons for Allowance which differ from that previously stated by applicant in the specification and responses filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office form no basis for allowance and therefore provides no grounds for application of the Doctrine of Prosecution History Estoppel in the construction of the allowed claims. Applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration and that the application be passed to allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 7/7, 2004

Paul D. Greeley, Esq.

Reg. No. 31,019

Attorney for Applicant

Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.

One Landmark Square, 10th Floor

Stamford, CT 06901-2682

(203) 327-4500